

---

**From:** Stoner, Nancy  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 17, 2014 8:35 PM  
**To:** Albright, David  
**Subject:** Re: letter going to CA today about UIC program review

THanks

---

**From:** Albright, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 17, 2014 6:27:53 PM  
**To:** Grevatt, Peter; Stoner, Nancy; Loop, Travis; Feldt, Lisa; Clark, Becki; Bergman, Ronald  
**Cc:** Diamond, Jane  
**Subject:** RE: letter going to CA today about UIC program review  
Jane is on the road and asked that I forward the letter and attachments that Jared sent to CA agencies today. They are attached.  
Thanks,  
David

---

**From:** Diamond, Jane  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 17, 2014 11:29 AM  
**To:** Grevatt, Peter; Stoner, Nancy; Loop, Travis; Feldt, Lisa; Clark, Becki  
**Cc:** Montgomery, Michael; Blumenfeld, Jared; Zito, Kelly; Johnson, AudreyL; Albright, David; Dermer, Michele  
**Subject:** letter going to CA today about UIC program review  
I wanted to give you a quick update on how our CA oil and gas UIC discussions have evolved. The letter that we've been contemplating to trigger a UIC program review in CA is now being finalized and will go out today because we recently learned CA is planning to meet with O&G industry reps tomorrow and issue a press release which announces their planned review. It makes sense for CA to have our letter before their meeting and press release. The letter is going out under Jared's signature and will send it to you as soon as it's finalized.  
The letter requests information re the potential for impacts to dw wells which the State has gathered but not shared, information/records the State may have re the number and location of aquifer exemptions and a comprehensive tiered review of class II wells. We've been working with OGWDW to review the historical records on primacy and aquifer exemptions to help inform our next steps with CA, because of ongoing uncertainty as to the number of non-hydrocarbon producing aquifer exemptions granted at the time of primacy and our respective staff are working diligently to clear this up.  
We're updating our desk statement accordingly and will share with you. Jane